IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1007 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.622 OF 2006

Raju Ramvishal Chamar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

Ms.M.U.Inamdar for the Applicant.
Mr.A.R.Patil,A.P.P.for the Respondent.

CORAM :- DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI,JJ.

DATE : 12TH OCTOBER,2007.

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.

Perused the Trial Court Judgment. This Application has been preferred by Accused No.1. The learned Judge, after considering the evidence, has clearly came to a conclusion that there were two extra judicial confessions and also that P.W.No.4 Raju Patel and P.W.No.5 Chand Hafiz Mohd. have stated that both of them had last seen Accused No.1 with deceased. Over and above, Medical opinion is, it is a homicidal death. The weapon knife and clothes were recovered at the instance of Accused NO.1. The evidence of the girl-friend of deceased being P.W.No.8 Salma is also against the accused. Under these facts and circumstances of the case, we are not inclined to grant bail in the above.

2. However, having regard to the age of the accused, we are inclined to expedite the hearing of the above Appeal. Hence, the hearing of the above Appeal is expedited.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI,J.) **(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)**